



## MANGO PROJECT

#### RANDOMIZED CONTROL TESTING IN NON-INFERIORITY

Where: 10 health centers in the district of Fada N'Gourma,

Burkina Faso When: 2015-2020

Who: 801 children aged 6 to 59 months

SAM according to WHZ< -3 and/or MUAC<

115mm with appetite



Standard Dose n=399



**Reduced Dose** n=402

Reduced dose from 3rd week onward, according to the child's weight.

What: To prove under ideal conditions the efficacy of a reduced dose of RUTF compared to a standard dose during the treatment of uncomplicated Severe Acute Malnutrition in children aged 6-59 months.

#### **Scientific Partners and Funders:**

CIFF, ECHO, HIF-ELRHA, AAH Foundation Univ. of Copenhague, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, (CDC, USA)

# AVAILABILITY, CONSUMPTION AND PERCEPTION OF RUTF PRESCRIBED TO SAM CHILDREN AT THEIR HOME

## **Data collection**

In-depth individual interviews with caregivers at 1 month (4 weeks) and 2 months (8 weeks) of treatment. The aim was to assess perceptions of caregivers, availability (% of children having RUTF available until the end of the week with or without leftovers) and consumption of the nutritional product. A questionnaire was carried out at the 6th week on sharing, ways of consumption and potential side effects related to the product.

#### Results

RUTF was available in both groups of children at the time of interviews.

At the end of the treatment, the reduced dose group consumed 82.9% (i.e. 97/117) of the sachets prescribed during the treatment, compared with 81.7% (i.e. 143/175) for the standard dose group. These results may explain the differences in energy intake of the RUTF according to the dose (see article Energy and Nutrient intakes).

93% of the cargivers in the reduced dose group and 97% in the standard dose one considered the amount of RUTF sufficient.

Side effects (diarrhea and/or vomiting) were reported in both groups: 18% in the reduced dose group and 24% in the standard dose one.

More than 40% of the children in the reduced dose group consumed the product at least 3 times a day compared to 82% in the standard dose group. This can be explained by a higher consumption of supplementary and family food by children receiving the reduced dose (see article *Energy and* Nutrient intakes).

80% of the children eat the product directly from the sachet and 18% consume it mixed with porridge. 99% of the children declared not sharing it.

Availability, consumption and perceptions according to

the dose of RUTF	Reduced dose	Standard dose
	n=243	n=273
RUTF availibility during week		
RUTF available (%)	95,4	99,2
Week with remains (%)	6,2	10,5
Week without remains (%)	89,2	88,7
Finished before visit (%)	4,6	8,0
RUTF quantity per week		
Prescribed, in sachets (n)	10,0	17,6
Consumed, in sachets (n)	9,3	15,9
Consumption rate (%)	95,0	92,8
RUTF frequency of consumption	$\wedge$	
Once a day (%)	10,4	0
Twice a day (%)	47,0	17,3
Three times or more a day (%)	42,6	82,7
Perception on RUTF prescribed		
RUTF at least sufficient* (%)	92,3	97,7
More than desired (%)	1,5	7,5
Enough (%)	90,8	90,2
Less than desired (%)	7,7	2,3

\*At least sufficient = More than desired + Enough

Significant difference (p<0.05)

## **Key takeaways**

The reduced dose does not affect the availability of the product. Children from the reduced dose consume the product less frequently. There are few leftovers sachets of RUTF in reduced dose group.

## **GLOSSARY**



**MUAC** Mid Upper Arm Circumference RUTF Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Food SAM Severe Acute Malnutrition WHZ Weight For Height Z-score